



Identification of the Etymon of Indo-European 'Moist', Sinitic 'South', Tibeto-Burman 'Sun, Day, Sky' and Hungarian *nap* 'Sun, Day'

Jingyi Gao

Beijing International Studies University, China; E-mail: gao.jingyi@bisu.edu.cn

Abstract

Using etymological methods, the present study has identified two Sinitic and Germanic shared (Sino-Germanic) etymologies (etyma): 【南, 隅】. These two etyma form a rhyme correspondence. This regular sound change validates the etymological connection in question. The etymon 【南】 for 'southern sky' has been identified in Sinitic, Germanic, Baltic, Slavic, Celtic, Albanian, Hellenic, Anatolian, Armenian, Indo-Iranian, some Tibeto-Burman, some Uralic and Hungarian. The etymon 【隅】 for 'dark' has been identified in Sinitic, Germanic and Gyalrong. In words of Western linguistics, the Proto-Indo-European root **nebh-* 'moist, water from it damp, mist, fog, cloud' is newly identified in Sinitic, some Tibeto-Burman, some Uralic and Hungarian; the Proto-Germanic root **ēbanþ-/ēbunþ-* 'evening' is identified in Sinitic and Gyalrong.

Keywords: etymology, rhyme correspondence, Sinitic, Germanic, Indo-European, Sino-Germanic, Tibeto-Burman, Uralic, Hungarian, astronomical terms, sun, day

Introduction

The Indo-European term for 'moist' 〔{Proto-Indo-European **nebh-* (Pokorny, 1959, p. 315)}; equivalents e.g. Old Church Slavonic **небо** (*nebo*) 'heaven, sky'; Old Irish *nem* 'heaven, sky'; Ancient Greek νέφος (*néphos*) 'cloud'; Sanskrit नभस् (*nábhás*) 'ether, vapor, clouds, mist, fog'〕 has not been identified in other languages.

The Sinitic term for 'south' 【南】 〔{OC-W **nəm*}; equivalents e.g. Mandarin *nán* 'south'; Cantonese *naam4* 'south'; Minnan *lâm* 'south'〕 has been compared (Benedict, 1990, p. 167) to the Tibetan-Burman term for 'sun, day, sky' 〔{Proto-Tibeto-Burman **nəm*}, equivalents e.g. Tibetan *gnam* 'sky, heaven', *nam* 'night'; Chapang *nyam* 'sun'; Vayu *nomo* < *nama* 'sun, sky'〕.

The Hungarian term for 'sun, day' *nap* has been defined as 'of unknown origin' (Benkő, 1993, p. 1015).

The present study identifies these three etymological chains as one single etymon and supports this etymon with a regular sound correspondence.

Materials and methods

The present paper is a comparative and etymological study. Sinitic is compared to Germanic (and other Indo-European), Tibeto-Burman and Hungarian.

The Sinitic etyma are led by Chinese etyma (DOMs) that are historically attested Chinese glyphs (Sinograms). Their historical glosses are cited from the Chinese classical dictionaries (121-SW; 543-YP). Their historical phonological values are cited from the work *Yùn jìng* (1161-YJ) with reference to the dictionary *Guǎng yùn* (1008-GY) and transcribed according to Appendix 1. Their attested equivalents including forms and glosses are represented by Beijing Yan (Mandarin) (written in Hanyu Pinyin including non-simplified forms), Guangzhou Yue (Cantonese) (written in Jyutping), Taipei Min (Minnan) (written in Tâi-lô), Sino-Japanese¹ Go-on and Kan-on (written in orthography and Hepburn), Sino-Korean (written in orthography and the Revised Romanization) and Sino-Vietnamese (written in orthography), in this fixed order. Their historically attested Old Chinese (OC) rhymes are given according to the work of Wáng (Wáng, 1980) and reconstructively transcribed according to Appendix 2.

The Germanic etyma are based on the relevant etymological dictionaries (Nielsen, 1966; Kluge, Seebold, 2011; Kroonen, 2013). Their attested equivalents including contemporary forms and glosses are represented by Danish, Swedish, Norwegian (Bokmål), Icelandic, Old Norse, English, Old English, Dutch, Old Low German, German, Old High German, and Gothic, in this fixed order.

For the etyma in question, etymological equivalents in other languages (other Indo-European, Tibeto-Burman) claimed by other scholars are checked in relevant etymological or comparative works, e.g. the works of Pokorny, Peiros, Starostin and Schuessler (Pokorny, 1959; Peiros, Starostin, 1996; Schuessler, 2007). Such extended equivalents are mostly cited as in references.

Non-English glosses are translated into English in the present study. Refutations of previously claimed etymological equivalents are given in footnotes.

Reconstructed forms are listed only for reference reasons. All the attested forms are compared instead of trusting the phonetic and semantic details of reconstructions, because the reconstructions are always subject to changes depending on [newly] compared attested linguistic data. Two Old Chinese (OC) reconstructions, OC-W according to the work of Wáng (Wáng, 1980) and OC-Z according to the work of Zhèng-zhāng (Zhèng-zhāng, 2013), are listed. Other reconstructions are quoted from the direct references.

Proto-Sinitic, also known as Proto-Chinese, cannot be compared because it is only a theoretical notion without reconstructed results. Proto-Sino-Tibetan cannot be compared because it is a hypothetical notion without a sufficient amount of etyma representing a sufficient number of the languages in question. Many scholars are still comparing only Tibetan, Burmese or another Tibeto-Burman branch to Sinitic e.g. the works of Shī, Huáng, Zhang, Jasques and Lai (Shī, 2000; Huáng, 2005; Zhang, Jacques, Lai, 2019). The works of Benedict and Matisoff (Benedict, 1972; Matisoff, 2003) have compared more “Sino-Tibetan languages”, whereas many comparisons do not touch Sinitic. The work of Starostin and Peiros (Peiros, Starostin, 1996) compares only five “Sino-Tibetan languages”: Sinitic, Tibetan, Burmese, Jingpho\Kachin and Mizo\Lushai, whereas still many comparisons do not touch Sinitic. Etyma without Sinitic

¹ Sino-Japanese is a linguistic term for the portion of the Japanese vocabulary that is of Chinese origin or makes use of morphemes of Chinese origin (similar to the use of Latin or Greek in English). The same applies to the terms Sino-Korean and Sino-Vietnamese. They do not mean common proto populations.

equivalents cannot be labeled as “Sino-Tibetan”. Etyma with equivalents only in one Tibeto-Burman branch and Sinitic may be non-genetically diffused (loaned/borrowed) from Sinitic or from the Tibeto-Burman branch. There is a website called “The Sino-Tibetan Etymological Dictionary and Thesaurus” (<https://stedt.berkeley.edu>), whereas its content is so far a thesaurus (book of synonyms, collection of X-English dictionaries) rather than an etymological dictionary. This is the current situation of the comparative studies between Sinitic and Tibeto-Burman. Moreover, the Sino-Tibetan hypothesis has been successively criticized (Miller 1974; Beckwith, 2002, pp. 113–158; Beckwith, 2006, pp. 179–200; Beckwith, 2008, pp. 161–201; Hé, 2004; Gěng, 2005; Guō, 2010, p. 21; Zhāng, 2012, 2013, 2014; Qú, Jin, 2013; Qú 2021). Besides, there are hypotheses for the multiple origins of Sinitic (Lǐ, 1990; Schuessler, 2003). In sum, the notion Sino-Tibetan cannot be considered as a certain language family which includes or represents Sinitic.

Etymological equivalents are given in orthographies or transcriptions. Equivalents in Western alphabets are given in boldface if they are found in official languages covered by ISO 639-1. Equivalents in Roman alphabets are given in italics. Cyrillic alphabets are transliterated into Roman alphabets according to ISO 9. If a given equivalent word is longer than one morpheme, the targeted morpheme is underlined (if certain). In successive data, dialectal and authorial variants are separated by a slash (/); grammatical variants are separated by a backslash (\); while lexical variants are separated by a comma (,).

Ancient and fully etymological Sinitic etyma are put in the solid brackets 【】 and labeled as DOM in tables. Ordinary Chinese terms are put in the blank brackets [] or written without brackets. Unattested and reconstructed forms are marked with an asterisk (*). Attested and phonologically realized forms based on ancient Sino-linguistic data (e.g. Chinese descriptions in rhyme tables and rhyme dictionaries) are marked with a blank star (★). Double quotation marks (“”) are added when its target is quoted (on sentences) or not agreed (on forms and terms). Double arrows (⇒ or ⇐) indicate genetic diffusions ('inherited' in Western linguistics; 'born' in Sino-linguistics). Single arrows (→ or ←) indicate non-genetic diffusions ('loaned/borrowed' in Western linguistics; 'learnt/educated' in Sino-linguistics).

The methods follow traditional etymology (Vossius, 1622; Lemon, 1783; Rask, 1818; Gāo, 2008) and renewed etymology (Gao, 2012/2013, 2014, 2017, 2018, 2019a, 2019b, 2020a, 2020b, 2021; Gāo, 2014; Gao, Tender, 2020).

Results and discussion

The common format of the next etymological paragraphs is:

#Number of etymon) 【 DOM 】 [historical reference: phonetic description original gloss 'gloss' (transcribed form); Mandarin form 'gloss'; Cantonese form 'gloss'; Minnan form 'gloss'; Sino-Japanese form; Sino-Korean form; Sino-Vietnamese form; {OC rhyme group; OC-W reconstruction; OC-Z reconstruction}] (Read: The Sinitic etymon 【DOM】 with the contents [...]) is or has been compared (reference) to the Germanic etymon after the equivalents: ... (reference).

This etymon has been or not been identified in other languages (reference). This paragraph is used for other language groups compared in other directions (not Sinitic ~ Germanic but Sinitic ~ other or Germanic ~ other) by other scholars.

#1) 【南】 𩚎(121-SW): 勻木至南方有枝任也('south'); (543-YP): 奴含切 方名('a direction'); (1008-GY): 那含切 火方('fire direction'); (1161-YJ): 外轉第三十九開一等平聲舌音清濁 extrovert, final-39, labialized-, division-1, tone-A, dental initial voiced±([☆]*nóam*^A); Mandarin *nán* 'south'; Cantonese *naam4* 'south'; Minnan *lâm* 'south'; Sino-Japanese Go-on なん (*nan*); Kan-on だん (*dan*); Sino-Korean 남(*nam*); Sino-Vietnamese *nam*; {OC rhyme 侵 *-m(p)ə; OC-W *nəm; OC-Z “*nu:m”} 𩚎 is compared (first publication) to the Germanic etymon after the equivalents: Icelandic *nifl* 'fog, darkness' (only used in poems); Dutch *nevel* 'fog, mist'; Old Low German *nebal* 'fog, darkness'; German *Nebel* 'fog'; Old High German *nebul/nebel* 'fog, darkness'; {Proto-Germanic **nebala* 'fog' (Kroonen, 2013, p. 386)}.

This etymon has been identified in other Indo-European languages: {Baltic: Latvian *debess* 'sky, heaven'; Lithuanian *debesis* 'cloud'} {Slavic: Czech *nebe* 'sky, heaven'; Slovak *nebo* 'sky, heaven'; Polish *niebo* 'sky, heaven'; Russian **небо** (*nebo*) 'sky, heaven', **нёбо** (*nëbo*) 'palate'; Belarusian **неба** (*neba*) 'sky, heaven', **нёбо** (*nëbo*) 'palate'; Ukrainian **небо** (*nebo*) 'sky, heaven'; Bulgarian **небе** (*nebe*) 'sky, heaven'; Serbo-Croatian **небо/nebo** 'sky, heaven'; Slovene *nebo* 'sky, heaven, palate'; Old Church Slavonic **небо** (*nebo*) 'heaven, sky'}; {Celtic: Irish *neamh* 'heaven, sky'; Old Irish *nem* 'heaven, sky'; Welsh *nef* 'heaven'; Old Welsh *nem* 'heaven'; Cornish *nev* 'heaven'; Old Cornish *nef* 'heaven'; Breton *neñv* 'sky'; Old Breton *nem* 'sky'}; {Romance: Latin *nebula* 'fog, cloud, vapor'; Italian *nebbia* 'fog, mist', *nebula* 'nabula'; Spanish *niebla* 'fog'; Portuguese *névoa* 'fog, mist, haze'; Romanian *negură* 'fog'} (Romance ← Germanic via Visigoth and Ostrogoth); Albanian *avull* 'steam'; {Hellenic: Greek νέφος (*néfos*) 'smog'; Ancient Greek νέφος (*néphos*) 'cloud'}; {Anatolian: Hittite *nēpis* 'sky, heaven'}; [Old] Armenian ամպ (*amp*) 'cloud'; {Indo-Iranian: Avestan\Zend *nabah-* 'airspace, sky, heaven'; Kurdish *nam* 'moisture'; Persian نم (*nam*) 'moisture'; Sanskrit नभस् (*nábhās*) 'mist, fog, vapor, clouds, ether'}; {Proto-Indo-European *(e)*nebh-* 'moist, water from it damp, mist, fog, cloud' (Pokorny, 1959, p. 315); *d^h*neb^h-* (Kroonen, 2013, p. 386)}. {← Proto-Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic) **nómpə* 'fog, mist < damp, steam, moisture, cloud < sun < southern sky > south'}

Additional remarks about the origins of Sinitic: The works of Gāo (Gāo, 2008, pp. 109–114; Gāo, 2012, pp. 241–243; Gāo, 2019c, pp. 145–147) put forward that the Shennong nation 神農氏 (a.k.a. Yandi clans 炎帝部落) of Chinese prehistory is the shared origin of Sinitic and Uralic languages and peoples marked by the human Y-chromosome DNA haplogroup N-M231, while the Xuanyuan nation 軒轅氏 (a.k.a. Huangdi clans 黃帝部落) of Chinese prehistory is the shared origin of Sinitic and Indo-European languages and peoples; Sinitic is basically made by a convergence of a Sino-Uralic basis and a Sino-Germanic (read Sino-Indo-Germanic) superstrate. Gāo's proposition is cited and supported by studies of molecular genetics and archaeology (Lǐ, Jīn, 2020, 105–107), although Lǐ and Jīn consider the former as 'Uralic substrate of Sinitic' and the latter as 'Sino-Tibetan basis'. We think that Sino-Tibetan is not a valid node, because the etyma shared by Sinitic and Tibeto-Burman form a lower set of the Sino-Germanic shares. Lǐ and Jīn related the Sino-Uralic homeland ('the Uralic substratum of Sinitic') to the Hongshan culture where ancient DNA haplogroup N-M231 is largely detected.

This etymon has been identified (Benedict, 1990, p. 167) in some Tibeto-Burman languages: Tibetan *gnam* 'heaven, sky', *nam* 'night'; Magari *nam-khan* ~ *nyam-khan* 'sun', *nam-sin* ~ *nyam-sin* 'day'; Chapang *nyam* 'sun'; Vayu *nomo* < *nama* 'sun, sky'; Bahing\Kiranti *nam* 'sun'; Nung *nam* 'sun/sky'; Mikir *arnam* 'god' ('wind' in compound); Dulong *nam53* 'sun'; Zaiwa *tsan51-nam55* 'summer: year-sun'; {Proto-Tibeto-Burman **nam* 'sun' (LaPolla, 1987, p. 7); **nəm* 'sun'

(Benedict, 1990, p. 167)}. Tibetan ~ Magari ~ Chepang ~ Vayu ~ Bahing\Kiranti ~ Nung ~? Mikir (Benedict, 1972, p. 148); Tibeto-Burman + Dulong +? Zaiwa (LaPolla, 1987, p. 7); Sinitic ~ Tibeto-Burman (Benedict, 1990, p. 167); Sinitic ~ Tibetan ~ “Burmese *nam* 'sky' (Luce)” (Peiros, Starostin, 1996); Sinitic ~? Tibeto-Burman (Schuessler, 2007, p. 396). {← Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic)}

This etymon has been identified (Gāo, 2008, p. 187) in some Uralic languages: Estonian *lõuna* 'south, lunch'; Finnish *lounas* 'southwest, lunch'; Udmurt\Votyak *nunal/ninal/ninal/nânal/nunal* 'day', *lum-tel* 'south wind'; Komi\Zyrian *lun/vun* 'day, sun light'; {"Proto-Finno-Permīc" **lowna* 'day, midday' (Rédei, 1988, p. 693)}. {← Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic)}

Additional remarks: This comparison contains an irregular sound change to Uralic (-mp- > -wn-). It has not been validated with a rhyme correspondence but a semantic pair: Both 'south' and 'north' are compared in Sinitic and Uralic. Cf. 【北】 𠂔 (121-SW): 乖也('back side'); (543-YP): 布墨切 方名('a direction'); (1161-YJ): 內轉第四十二開一等入聲唇音清 (introvert, final-42, labialized-, division-1, tone-D, labial initial voiced-) ($\overset{\star}{p}ók^D$); Mandarin *bēi* 'north'; Cantonese *baak1/bak1* 'north'; Minnan *pok/pak* 'north'; Sino-Japanese ほく (hoku); Sino-Korean 북(buk); Sino-Vietnamese *bắc*; {OC rhyme 職 *-kə; OC-W *pək; OC-Z *pu:g} 】 has been compared (Gāo, 2008, p. 133) to the Uralic etymon after the equivalents: Estonian *põhi/põhja* 'basis, north'; Finnish *pohja* 'basis', (*posio* 'tent's rear' ← Sami\Lappish); Sami\Lappish *boaššu/påssjō/ poaššu/põäšš^A/püašš^A* 'tent's rear' (Lehtiranta, 1989, p. 106; Itkonen, Kulonen, 2001, p. 383). {Uralic ← Shennong (Sino-Uralic) **póXkə* 'back side' ⇒ Sinitic}

This etymon is identified (first publication) in Hungarian: *nap* 'sun, day'. {← Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic); or ← Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic) via Slavic}

The next etymon #2 is studied in order to form a rhyme correspondence with the etymon #1.

#2) 【陰】 𠂔(121-SW): 間也('dark'); (543-YP): 於今切 黑也影也水南山北也間也幽無形深難測謂之陰('dark, shadow, south of mountain or north of river, lunar'); (1008-GY): 於金切 隱陽也('lunar'); (1161-YJ): 外轉第三十八合三等平聲喉音清 (extrovert, final-38, labialized+, division-3, tone-A, laryngeal initial voiced-) ($\overset{\star}{?w}eəm^A$); Mandarin *yīn* 'lunar'; Cantonese *jam1* 'lunar'; Minnan *im/iam* 'lunar'; Sino-Japanese Go-on おん (on); Kan-on イン (in); Sino-Korean 남음(eum); Sino-Vietnamese *âm*; {OC rhyme 侵 *-m(p)ə; OC-W *jəm; OC-Z “*qrūm”} 】 is compared (first publication) to the Germanic etymon after the equivalents: Danish *aften* 'eve'; Swedish *afton* 'eve'; Norwegian *aften* 'eve'; Icelandic *aftann* 'eve'; Old Norse *aftann/eftann* 'eve'; English *eve/evening*; Old English *æfen/ēfen*; Dutch *avond* 'eve'; Old Low German *āvand/āband* 'eve'; German *Abend* 'eve'; Old High German *āband/ābund* 'eve'; {Proto-Germanic **ēbanþ-/ēbunþ-* 'evening' (Kroonen, 2013, p. 113)}. {← Proto-Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic) **?wempə* 'eve < dark > lunar'}

This etymon has been identified (Zhang, Jacques, Lai, 2019, p. 88) in Gyalrong: Brag-bar *ta-nçāp* 'dark side of the mountain'; Japhug *nqiaβ* 'dark side of the mountain'. {← Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic); or ← Xuanyuan (Sino-Germanic) via Sinitic}

Overview

The etyma #1 【南】 and #2 【陰】 form a rhyme correspondence (Table 1).

Table 1. Rhyme correspondence (Rc#2021JGao-2310-2144-T1): Old Chinese rhyme 侵
*-m(p)ə ⇔ Minnan -am ⇔ German -Vbe

DOM	Mandarin	Cantonese	Minnan	Danish	Swedish	English	Dutch	German
【南】	<i>nán</i>	<i>naam4</i>	<i>lâm</i>	--	--	--	<i>nevel</i>	<i>Nebel</i>
	'south'	'south'	'south'				'fog, mist'	'fog'
【陰】	<i>yīn</i>	<i>jam1</i>	<i>im/iam</i>	<i>aften</i>	<i>afton</i>	<i>eve</i>	<i>avond</i>	<i>Abend</i>
	'lunar'	'lunar'	'lunar'	'eve'	'eve'	'eve'	'eve'	'eve'

Conclusions

Using etymological methods, the present study has identified two Sinitic and Germanic shared (Sino-Germanic) etymologies (etyma): 【南, 陰】. These two etyma form a rhyme correspondence. This regular sound change validates the etymological connection in question. The etymon 【南】 for 'southern sky' has been identified in Sinitic, Germanic, Baltic, Slavic, Celtic, Albanian, Hellenic, Anatolian, Armenian, Indo-Iranian, some Tibeto-Burman, some Uralic and Hungarian. The etymon 【陰】 for 'dark' has been identified in Sinitic, Germanic and Gyalrong. In words of Western linguistics, the Proto-Indo-European root *(e)nēbh- 'moist, water from it damp, mist, fog, cloud' is newly identified in Sinitic, some Tibeto-Burman, some Uralic and Hungarian; the Proto-Germanic root *ēbanþ-/ēbunþ- 'evening' is identified in Sinitic and Gyalrong.

Appendix 1: Transcription of 1161-YJ 韻鏡 (Gao, 2020b, p. 35)

1) 聲紐 (initials)

舌音齒 dental alveolar		喉音 laryngeal					齒音 dental					牙音 velar					舌音 alveolar					唇音 labial					
清	濁	清	濁	左	左	清	左	左	濁	次	清	清	濁	濁	次	清	清	濁	濁	次	清	清	濁	濁	次	清	清
ŋ	l	ɺ	f	h	?	ð	θ	dʒ	tʂʰ	tʂ	ɳ	g	kʰ	k	n	d	tʰ	t	m	b	pʰ	p					

2) 韻腹韻尾 (rimes) (with 《廣韻》韻部《七音略》註釋《四聲等子》韻攝)

內轉(introvert)(ə) (incl.外轉第十七至二十[false 外(æ)])	外轉(extrovert)(a) (excl.外轉第十七至二十[false 外(æ)])
東~屋第一開(əŋ)、 冬鍾~沃燭第二開合(əŋ) (⇒通攝(/əŋ/))	江~覺第三開合(əŋ) (⇒江攝(/əŋ/))
支第四開合(əɻ) <small>重內</small> 、 支第五合(ʷəɻ) <small>輕內</small> 、 脂第六開(əɻ)、 脂第七合(ʷəɻ) <small>輕內</small> 、 之第八開(əɻ) <small>重內</small>	佳第十五開(aɻ)、 佳第十六合(ʷaɻ)
微~廢第九開(əj) <small>輕內</small> 、 微~廢第十合(ʷəj) <small>輕內</small> (⇒止攝(/əj/))	咍皆齊~夬第十三開(aj)、 灰皆齊~夬第十四合(ʷaj) (⇒蟹攝(/aj/))
魚第十一開(ə)、 虞模第十二開合(əɛ) (⇒遇攝(/əɛ/))	麻第二十九開(a)、 麻第三十合(ʷa) (⇒假攝(/a/))
歌第二十七合(ʷə)、 戈第二十八合(ʷə) (⇒果攝(/ə/))	
痕臻真~沒櫛質第十七開(ən)、 魂諱~沒術第十八合(ʷən)	寒刪仙先~曷黠薛屑第二十三開(an)、 桓刪仙先~末黠薛屑第二十四合(ʷan)
欣~迄第十九開(əŋ)、 文~物第二十合(ʷəŋ) (⇒臻攝(/əŋ/))	山元仙~鐸月薛第二十一開(əŋ)、 山元仙~鐸月薛第二十二合(ʷəŋ) (⇒山攝(/an/))
侯尤幽第三十七開(əw) (⇒流攝(/əw/))	豪爻蕭霄第二十五開(aw)、 霄第二十六合(ʷaw) (⇒效攝(/aw/))
唐陽~鐸藥第三十一開(əŋ)、 唐陽~鐸藥第三十二合(ʷəŋ) (⇒宕攝(/əŋ/))	庚清~陌昔第三十三開(əŋ)、 庚清~陌昔第三十四合(ʷəŋ)
登蒸~德職第四十二開(əŋ)、	耕清青~麥昔錫第三十五開(əŋ)

登蒸~德職第四十三合(^w əŋ) (⇒曾攝(/əŋ/))	耕青~麥錫第三十六合(^w əŋ) (⇒梗攝(/əŋ/))
侵~緝第三十八合(^w əm) (⇒深攝(/əm/))	覃咸鹽添~合洽葉帖第三十九開(am)、 談衡嚴鹽~盍狎業葉第四十合(^w əm)、 凡~乏第四十一合(^w əm) (⇒咸攝(/am/))
modification of D tone codas: -ŋ ^D ~k ^D ; -j ^D ~js ^C ; -n ^D ~t ^D ; -n ^D ~t ^D ; -n ^D ~c ^D ; -m ^D ~p ^D .	

3) 聲調 (tones): 平^A. 上^B. 去^C. 入^D.

4) 韻頭 (medials)

	一等	二等	三等	四等
開	ø[ɯ]	ó[ɤ]	e[e]	ɛ[i]
合	wø[wɯ]	wó[wɤ]	wé[wɛ]	wɛ[wɪ]
開合	ø[u]	o[ɔ]	œ[ɔ̃]	ø[ŷ]

5) 韵腹 (nucleus) (據《七音略》)

轉	重中重	輕中重	重中輕	輕中輕
重內	u[u·]	u[u]	i[i·]:u[u·]	i[i]
輕內	ə[r·]:o[o·]	ə[r]	e[e·]	e[e]:œ[o]
假外	æ[a·]	æ[a]	æ[e·]	æ[e]
外	a[a·]:ɒ[v·]	a[a]	ä[a·]	ä[a]

5) 其餘術語對應 (other technical terms)

Sino-linguistics	清	次清	濁	清濁	開	合	開合
Linguistics	voiced-	aspirated+	voiced+	voiced±	labialised-	labialised+	labialised± = rounded+
Linguistics in Chinese	清	送气	浊	无视清浊	非唇化	唇化	圆唇

Appendix 2: Reconstructive transcription of OC rhymes (Gao, 2020b, p. 35)

之 *-ə	侯 *-o	魚 *-a	微 *-lə	歌 *-la	脂 *-ðə	支 *-ða
職 *-kə	屋 *-ko	鐸 *-ka	物 *-tə	月 *-ta	質 *-θə	錫 *-θa
蒸 *-ŋ(k)ə	東 *-ŋ(k)o	陽 *-ŋ(k)a	文 *-n(t)ə	元 *-n(t)a	真 *-ŋ(θ)ə	耕 *-ŋ(θ)a
	幽 *-wə	宵 *-wa				
	覺 *-wə	藥 *-wə	緝 *-pə	盍 *-pa		
			侵 *-m(p)ə	談 *-m(p)a		

Acknowledgments

This study is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2020YFE0201600), the B&R Joint Laboratory of Eurasian Anthropology (18490750300) and the Education Commission of Beijing (CIT&TCD20190107).

Cited Chinese Classics

121-SW – Xǔ, S. 許慎. *Shuō wén jiě zì* 說文解字 [*Explaining Simple Glyphs and Analysing Compound Glyphs*]. Luò-yáng 離陽 (Luoyang), Hán 漢 (China), 漢建光元年 121. Xú, Xuàn děng 徐鉉等 (jiào 校). Dōng-jīng 東京 (Kaifeng), Sòng 宋 (China), 宋雍熙三年 986.

543-YP – Gù, Y. 顧野王. *Yù piān* 玉篇 [*Jade Book*]. Jiàn-kāng 建康 (Nanjing), Liáng 梁 (China), 543. [Chén, Péng-nián děng 陳彭年等 (xiū 修)] *Dà guǎng yì huì yù piān* 大廣益會玉篇. Dōng-jīng 東京 (Kaifeng), Sòng 宋 (China), 宋大中祥符六年 1013.

1008-GY – [Chén, P. děng 陳彭年等 (xiū 修)]. *Dà Sòng cóng xiū guǎng yùn* 大宋重修廣韻 [*The Grand Rhyme Dictionary Reworked by the Great Song Empire*]. Dōng-jīng 東京 (Kaifeng), Sòng 宋 (China), 1008.

1161-YJ – Zhāng, L. 張麟之 (xù 序). *Yún jìng* 韻鏡 [*Rhyme Mirror*]. Lín-ān 臨安 (Hangzhou), Sòng 宋 (China), 1161.

References

- Beckwith, 2002 – Beckwith, C.I. The Sino-Tibetan problem. In: *Medieval Tibeto-Burman Languages*. Brill: Leiden, Netherlands, 2002; pp. 113–158.
- Beckwith, 2006 – Beckwith, C.I. Old Tibetan and the dialects and periodization of Old Chinese. In: *Medieval Tibeto-Burman Languages II*. Brill: Leiden, Netherlands, 2006; pp. 179–200.
- Beckwith, 2008 – Beckwith, C.I. Old Chinese loans in Tibetan and the non-uniqueness of “Sino-Tibetan”. In: *Medieval Tibeto-Burman Languages III*. Brill: Leiden, Netherlands, 2008; pp. 161–201.
- Benedict, 1972 – Benedict, P.K. *Sino-Tibetan: A Conspectus*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1972.
- Benedict, 1990 – Benedict, P.K. The gods of Sino-Tibetan. *Acta Orientalia (Societas Orientales Danica, Fennica, Norvegica, Svecica)* 1990, 51, 161–172.
- Benkő, 1993 – Benkő, L. (Herausgeber). *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Ungarischen [Etymological dictionary of the Hungarian language]*. Akadémiai Kiadó: Budapest, Hungary, 1993.
- Gao, 2012 – Gao, J. Official colours of Chinese regimes: A panchronic philological study with historical accounts of China. *Trames* 2012, 16, 3, 237–285.
- Gao, 2012/2013 – Gao, J. Huns and Xiongnu identified by Hungarian and Yeniseian shared etymologies. *Central Asiatic Journal* 2012/2013, 56, 41–48.
- Gao, 2014 – Gao, J. Rhyme correspondences between Sinitic and Uralic languages: On the example of the Finnish -ala and -aja rhymes. *Linguistica Uralica* 2014, 50, 2, 94–108.
- Gao, 2017 – Gao, J. Xia and Ket identified by Sinitic and Yeniseian shared etymologies. *Central Asiatic Journal* 2017, 60, 51–58.
- Gao, 2018 – Gao, J. Veel hiina ja soome-ugri keelte ühiseid etüümoloogiaid riimivastavuse näitel [More Sinitic and Uralic shared etymologies supported by rhyme correspondences]. *Idakiri: Eesti Akadeemilise Orientaalseltsi aastaraamat [Idakiri: Yearbook of the Estonian Academic Oriental Society]* 2018, 71–80.
- Gao, 2019a – Gao, J. On etymology of Finnic term for 'sky'. *Archaeoastronomy and Ancient Technologies* 2019, 7, 2, 5–10.
- Gao, 2019b – Gao, J. Karl August Hermanni hiina-soome-eesti keelevõrdlus ning kehtivad ja kehtetud etüümoloogiad parandustega [Karl August Hermann's Chinese-Finnish-Estonian language comparison, valid and invalid etymologies with corrections]. *Eesti ja soome-ugri keeleteaduse ajakiri / Journal of Estonian and Finno-Ugric Linguistics*, 2019, 10, 2, 45–58.
- Gao, 2019c – Gao, J. Hiina etnoloogilise, poliitilise ja keelelise ajaloo ülevaade [Overview of the ethnological, political and linguistic history of China]. *Idakiri: Eesti Akadeemilise Orientaalseltsi aastaraamat [Idakiri: Yearbook of the Estonian Academic Oriental Society]* 2019, 141–172.
- Gao, 2020a – Gao, J. Sino-Uralic etymology for 'Jupiter, year' supported by rhyme correspondence. *Archaeoastronomy and Ancient Technologies* 2020, 8, 1, 1–11.

- Gao, 2020b – Gao, J. On etymology of Sinitic, Indo-European and Uralic terms for 'star' supported by regular sound correspondences. *Archaeoastronomy and Ancient Technologies* 2020, 8, 2, 29–40.
- Gao, 2021 – Gao, J. The intensive controversy on Chinese historical phonology: Refutation of the liquid medial for division-2 in Old Chinese. *Trames* 2021, 25, 1, 101–148.
- Gāo, 2008 – Gāo, J. 高晶一. *Hàn-yǔ yǔ Běi-Ōu yǔ-yán* 汉语与北欧语言 [*Chinese Language and Languages of Northern Europe*]. China Social Sciences Press 中国社会科学出版社: Beijing 北京, China, 2008.
- Gāo, 2014 – Gāo, J. 高晶一. *Hàn-yǔ yǔ Wū-lā-ěr yǔ-yán tóng-yuán guān-xì gài-lùn* 汉语与乌拉尔语言同源关系概论 [Introduction to the common origin of Sinitic and Uralic languages]. In: *Dì-yù wén-huà yǔ Zhōng-guó yǔ-yán* 地域文化与中国语言 [*Reginal Culture and the Chinese Language*]. The Commercial Press 商务印书馆: Beijing 北京, China, 2014; pp. 36–90.
- Gao, Tender, 2020 – Gao, J.; Tender, T. Sino-Uralic etymology for 'moon, month' supported by rhyme correspondence. *Archaeoastronomy and Ancient Technologies* 2020, 8, 1, 60–68.
- Gěng, 2005 – Gěng, Z. 耿振生. *Hàn-yǔ yīn-yùn shǐ yǔ Hán-Zàng-yǔ de lì-shǐ bǐ-jíào* 汉语音韵史与汉藏语的历史比较 [History of Chinese phonology and the Sino-Tibetan comparison]. *Hú-bēi dà-xué xué-bào (zhé-xué-shè-huì-kē-xué-bǎn)* 湖北京大学学报(哲学社会科学版) [*Journal of Hubei University (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition)*] 2005, 32, 1, 81–88.
- Guō, 2010 – Guō, X. 郭錫良. *Hàn-zì gǔ-yīn shǒu-cè* 漢字古音手册 [*Handbook of Ancient Pronunciations of Sinograms*]. The Commercial Press 商務印書館: Beijing 北京, China, 2010.
- Hé, 2004 – Hé, J. 何九盈. *Hàn-yǔ hé qīn-shǔ yǔ-yán bǐ-jíào yán-jiū de jī-běn yuán-zé* 汉语和亲属语言比较研究的基本原则 [Principles in the comparative studies of Chinese and its related languages]. *Yǔ-yán-xué lùn-cóng* 语言学论从 / *Essays on Linguistics* 2004, 29, 12–66.
- Huáng, 2005 – Huáng, S. 黄树先. *Cóng hé-xīn-cí kàn Hán-Miǎn-yǔ guān-xì* 从核心词看汉缅语关系 / The relationship between Chinese and Burmese using the core words. *Yǔ-yán kē-xué* 语言科学 / *Linguistic Sciences* 2005, 4, 3, 90–108.
- Itkonen, Kulonen, 2001 – Itkonen, E.; Kulonen, U.-M. (päätoimittajat). *Suomen sanojen alkuperä: Etymologinen sanakirja* [Origin of Finnish Words: Etymological Dictionary] (1/2/3) (2. painos). Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura; Kotimaisten Kielten Tutkimuskeskus, Helsinki, Finland, 2001.
- Kluge, Seebold, 2011 – Seebold, E. (bearbeitet von). *Kluge Etymologisches Wörterbuch der deutschen Sprache* [Kluge's Etymological Dictionary of the German Language]. De Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2011.
- Kroonen, 2013 – Kroonen, G. *Etymological Dictionary of Proto-Germanic*. Brill: Leiden, Netherlands, 2013.
- Lemon, 1783 – Lemon, G.W. *English Etymology; or a Derivative Dictionary of the English Language*. G. Robinson: London, UK, 1783.

- LaPolla, 1987 – LaPolla, R.J. Dulong and Proto-Tibeto-Burman. *Linguistic of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 1987, 10, 1, 1–43.
- Lehtiranta, 1989 – Lehtiranta, J. *Yhteissaamelainen sanasto [Common Sami Vocabulary]*. Suomalais-Ugrilaisen Seura: Helsinki, Finland, 1989.
- Lǐ, 1990 – Lǐ, B. 李葆嘉. *Shì-lùn yuán-shí huá-xià-yǔ de lì-shí bēi-jǐng* 试论原始华夏语的历史背景 [On historical background of Proto-Sinitic]. *Yǔ-yán-xué tōng-xùn* 语言学通讯 [*Communications on Linguistics*] 1990, 1/2, 1–2.
- Lǐ, Jīn, 2020 – Lǐ, H. 李辉; Jīn, W. 金雯俐. *Rén-lèi qǐ-yuán yǔ qiān-xǐ zhī mí* 人类起源和迁徙之谜 [*Human Origin and Migration*]. Shanghai Technology and Education Press 上海科技教育出版社: Shanghai 上海, China, 2020.
- Matisoff, 2003 – Matisoff, J.A. *Handbook of Proto-Tibeto-Burman*. University of California Press: Berkeley, California, USA, 2003.
- Miller, 1974 – Miller, R.A. Sino-Tibetan: Inspection of a conspectus. *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 1974, 94, 2, 195–209.
- Nielsen, 2004 – Nielsen, N.Å. *Dansk Etymologisk Ordbog [Danish Etymological Dictionary]*. Gyldendal: København (Copenhagen), Denmark, 2004.
- Pokorny, 1959 – Pokorny, J. *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch [Indo-European Etymological Dictionary]*. Francke: Bern, Germany, 1959.
- Peiros, Starostin, 1996 – Peiros, I.; Starostin, S. *A Comparative Vocabulary of Five Sino-Tibetan Languages*. Department of Linguistics and Applied Linguistics, University of Melbourne: Parkville, Victoria, Australia, 1996.
- Qú, Jin, 2013 – Qú, Ā. 瞿蔼堂; Jīn, S. 劲松. *Lùn Hán-Zàng-yǔ yǔ-yán-lián-méng* 论汉藏语语言联盟 [On Sino-Tibetan sprachbund]. *Mín-zú yǔ-wén* 民族语文 [*Ethno-philology*] 2013, 5, 13–24.
- Qú, 2021 – Qú, Ā. 瞿蔼堂. *Yǔ-yán lián-méng: Hán-Zàng yǔ-yán de xīn rèn-shi* 语言联盟: 汉藏语言的新认识 [Sprachbund: New cognition of Sino-Tibetan languages]. *Yǔ-yán tián-yě diào-chá shí-lù* 语言田野调查实录 [*Language Fieldwork Memoir*] 2021, 15, 3–19.
- Rask, 1818 – Rask, R.K. *Undersögelse om det gamle Nordiske eller Islandske Sprogs Oprindelse [Investigation on the Origin of the Old Norse or Icelandic Language]*. Gyldendal: Kjöbenhavn (Copenhagen), Denmark, 1818.
- Rédei, 1988 – Rédei, K. *Uralisches etymologisches Wörterbuch [Uralic Etymological Dictionary]*. Akadémiai Kiadó: Budapest, Hungary, 1988.
- Schuessler, 2003 – Schuessler, A. Multiple origins of the Old Chinese lexicon. *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 2003, 31, 1, 1–71.
- Schuessler, 2007 – Schuessler, A. *ABC Etymological Dictionary of Old Chinese*. University of Hawai'i Press: Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 2007.

- Shī, 2000 – Shī, X. 施向东. *Hàn-yǔ hé Zàng-yǔ tóng-yuán tǐ-xì de bǐ-jiao yán-jiū* 汉语和藏语同源体系的比较研究 [*Comparative Study of Chinese and Tibetan*]. Sinolingua 华语教学出版社: Beijing 北京, China, 2000.
- Vossius, 1662 – [†Vossius, G.J.]. *Gerardi Joannis Vossii Etymologicon linguae latinae* [*Gerardus Joannes Vossius' Etymologicon of Latin Language*]. Apud Ludovicum; Danielem Elzevirios: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1662.
- Wáng, 1980 – Wáng, L. 王力. *Shī-jīng yùn dù* 诗经韵读 [*Shijing Rhyme Reading*]. Shanghai Press of Classics 上海古籍出版社: Shanghai 上海, China, 1980.
- Zhāng, 2012 – Zhāng, M. 张民权. *Hàn-zàng tóng-yuán jiǎ-shuō yǔ gǔ-yīn yán-jiū zhōng de ruò-gān wèn-tí* 汉藏同源假说与古音研究中的若干问题 [A few problems of the Sino-Tibetan hypothesis and Old Chinese reconstruction]. *Shān-xī dà-xué xué-bào (zhé-xué-shè-huì-kē-xué bǎn)* 山西大学学报(哲学社会科学版) [*Journal of Shanxi University (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition)*] 2012, 9, 10–17.
- Zhāng, 2013 – Zhāng, M. 张民权. *Cóng Qiāng-Zàng lì-shǐ kàn Hán-Zàng tóng-yuán jiǎ-shuō yǔ gǔ-yīn yán-jiū wèn-tí* 从羌藏历史看汉藏语同源假说与古音研究问题 [Reviewing the problems of Sino-Tibetan hypothesis and Old Chinese reconstruction with Qiang and Tibetan history]. *Mín-shú diǎn-jí wén-zì yán-jiū* 民俗典籍文字研究 [*Studies on Folklore, Classics and Grammatology*] 2013, 12, 176–194.
- Zhāng, 2014 – Zhāng, M. 张民权. *Lùn Hán-Zàng tóng-yuán-cì de lì-shǐ bǐ-jiao yǔ Hán-yǔ gǔ-yīn gòu-nǐ wèn-tí* 论汉藏同源词的历史比较与汉语古音构拟问题 [On the problems of Sino-Tibetan comparisons and Old Chinese reconstruction]. *Zhōng-guó Yǔ-yán-xué* 中国语言学 [*China Language Science*] 2014, 7, 1–13.
- Zhang, Jacques, Lai, 2019 – Zhang, S.; Jacques, G.; Lai, Y. A study of cognates between Gyalrong languages and Old Chinese. *Voprosy jazykovogo rodstva | «Вопросы языкового родства» / Journal of Language Relationship* 2019, 17, 1, 73–92.
- Zhèng-zhāng, 2013 – Zhèng-zhāng, S. 郑张尚芳. *Shàng-gǔ yīn-xì* 上古音系 [*Old Phonology*] (dì-2-bǎn 第 2 版). Shanghai Education Press 上海教育出版社: Shanghai 上海, China, 2013.